

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/647,955	10/05/2000	Karl Freudelsperger	68626	7444	
23872 7	590 01/14/2003				
MCGLEW & TUTTLE, PC			EXAMINER		
	IGH STATION IGH, NY 10510		KRIZEK, JANICE LEE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3652	9	
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2003	(

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		1 1/-	
Office Action Summary	09/647955	Freve	delsperge	instal.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	1	Group Art Ubit		
	KVIZO	<u></u>	3652		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	eneath the co	orrespondence ac	ldress	
Period for Reply	_				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE THREE	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MAIL	ING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, 	within the statutory minima pire SIX (6) MONTHS from	um of thirty (30)	days will be considere	ed timely. on .	
Status					
\nearrow Responsive to communication(s) filed on $9-30-$	-2002				
☐ This action is FINAL.					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except fo accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (r formal matters, pros e C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to	the merits is clos	sed in	
Disposition of Claims					
Claim(s) 34-	66	is/are r	pending in the appl	ication.	
Of the above claim(s) 4 64		is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
□ Claim(s)	is/are a	is/are allowed. is/are rejected.			
9 = 34 - 63	is/are r				
□ Claim(s)	is/are o	is/are objected to.			
□ Claim(s)					
Application Papers		require	ment.		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review, PTO-948.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved (☐ disapprove	d.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner.				
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the Interm	priority documents ha	ive been			
*Certified copies not received:					
Attachment(s)					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	s) □ ir	nterview Sumr	nary, PTO-413		
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948			Ψρ		
	action Summary				
Office A	vanima y				

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No._

Application/Control Number: 09/647,955 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

1. Claims 64-66 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as

being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election

was made without traverse in Paper No. 8.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In the first line of claim 34, "device" should be deleted;

in the second line, "an commissioning unit with" should be deleted.

In the second line of claim 41, "which is associated with said automatic commissioning unit" should be deleted;

in the third line, "automatic" should be deleted.

In the penultimate line of claim 63, "articles," should be --articles.--;

the last line should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 34-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

The recitation "an article-handling device that is movable in space with a stack-of-articles

support, which can be positioned in an essentially vertical position with a correspondingly vertical

stack of articles picked up in the support", found in lines 9-11 of claim 34, is unclear with respect

to how the article-handling device is moved.

Art Unit: 3652

the article ejector.

The recitation "a lower individual article ejector, which is displaceable in the transverse direction of the stack and is, said individual article ejector for pushing a lowermost article...or having a stack-of-articles holding-up device...or by said upper individual article ejector", found in lines 12-18 of claim 34, is ungrammatical and unclear with respect to the functions performed by

There is no antecedent basis for "the transverse direction of the stack" recited in line 13 of claim 34.

The recitation "a vertically adjustable guide for a lower individual article", found in lines 2-3 of claim 35, is unclear with respect to how the guide is "for" the article.

The recitation "a...clamping plate for elastically clamping a picked-up stack of articles in a transverse direction of the stack", found in lines 3-4 of claim 36, is unclear with respect to how the stack of articles is clamped by the clamping plate.

There is no antecedent basis for "each operating position" recited in the second line of claim 37.

The recitation "said stack-of-articles support...has a doubly sloped angle sheet iron", found in lines 1-3 of claim 37, is unclear with respect to the construction of the support.

There is no antecedent basis for "the root of the angle" recited in line 4 of claim 37.

There is no antecedent basis for "the bottom side" recited in lines 4-5 of claim 37.

Art Unit: 3652

The recitation "the stack of article (sic) is supported on... said individual article ejector or on said...device", found in lines 4-6 of claim 37, is unclear with respect to how the stack is supported.

The recitation "an article-handling unit,...for the removal of articles...from an acceptance region or from and to a supply bay", found in lines 3-5 of claim 38, is unclear with respect to the functions performed by the article-handling unit.

The recitation "an adjustable...clamping plate,...is located in parallel to and opposite said stack-of-articles pick-up and presses...a stack of articles...in a transverse direction of the stack", found in lines 4-7 of claim 39, is unclear with respect to the location of and function performed by the clamping plate.

The recitation "said...unit can be displaced via a guide or rail system...at least one stack of articles to be handled is or can be arranged...and the stack of articles reaches an aligned articles pick-up...by displacement...or conversely, it reaches the selected shaft...wherein the guide or rail system also has switches", found in claim 40, is unclear.

The recitation "the supply bay...is located in the vicinity of said article bay", found in claim 41, is unclear with respect to the location of the supply bay.

The recitation "the supply bay is a buffer...located in the vicinity of an unpacking station", found in claim 42, is unclear with respect to the relation between the supply bay and the buffer and with respect to the location of the supply bay.

The recitation "a...plate, which has a shape of a part of at least one bay level of the supply bay", found in lines 2-3 of claim 43, is unclear with respect to the form of the plate.

The recitation "said...plate is also displaceable, especially displaceable on a conveyor belt or on a chute and/or rotatably around a said vertical axis by preferably 90° or 180°", found in claim 44, is unclear with respect to how the plate is displaceable.

The recitation "a hand or foot switch is provided", found in claim 45, is unclear with respect to the structures which comprise the claimed invention.

The recitation "a gripping arm is provided, which grasps a preferably horizontal stack", found in the second line of claim 46, is unclear with respect to the function performed by the gripping arm.

The recitation "the supply bay has angle sheet irons arranged next to one another in one or more planes", found in claim 47, is unclear with respect to the arrangement of the angle sheet irons.

There is no antecedent basis for "the longitudinal direction" recited in lines 2-3 of claim 48.

The recitation "a detachable, preferably depressible article stop is provided", found in lines 5-6 of claim 48, is unclear.

There is no antecedent basis for "the longitudinal direction" and "the transverse direction" recited in the second and third lines respectively of claim 49.

Art Unit: 3652

The recitation "the stop of the article-handling unit may also be the adjustable longitudinal stack-of-articles pusher itself", found in lines 3-4 of claim 56, is unclear.

The recitation "said stack-of-articles pick-up...at an acceptance region have the same designs in terms of length, width, wherein said higher-level supply bay and/or said buffer may also have different sizes", found in claim 57, is unclear.

The recitation "a slope in the depth of the shaft or in the longitudinal direction of the shaft...wherein said transverse rail is rigidly or telescopically fastened", found in lines 3-5 of claim 58, is unclear.

There is no antecedent basis for "said adjustable second longitudinal stack-of-articles pusher of its own" recited in lines 4-5 of claim 59.

The recitation "articles can be conveyed...from a buffer or from the...pick-up...and loaded and removed, and a separate, vertically adjustable article bay loading unit, which is in turn divided...and has, on the one hand,...and, on the other hand, a gripping unit or stack-of-articles support", found in lines 5-11 of claim 59, is unclear.

The recitation in lines 1-2 of claim 62 is ungrammatical.

The recitation "at least one...unit is provided,...at an acceptance region, a buffer and/or a higher-level supply bay or can be displaced thereto and can take over or transfer stacks", found in lines 1-4 of claim 63, is unclear.

Application/Control Number: 09/647,955 Page 7

Art Unit: 3652

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use

or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 34-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Neukam

(EP 0 795 495).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Janice Krizek whose telephone number is (703) 308-2026. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 9:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eileen Lillis, can be reached at (703) 308-3248. The fax numbers for Technology

Center 3600 are (703) 872-9326 (for responses before final rejection), (703) 872-9327 (for

responses after final rejection) and (703) 872-9325 (for customer service).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

ilk

January 13, 2003

Januard. Trigh 1-13-2003 Janice L. Krizek

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 3600